UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,456	10/17/2005	Erich Haider	38759	6983
116 PEARNE & GO	7590 05/21/2007 ORDON L.L.P	EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			NGUYEN, JIMMY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/553,456	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/553.456	LIMBED EDIOLI			
Office Action Summary	•	HAIDER, ERICH			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jimmy T. Nguyen	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNION (6) (a). In no event, however, may a reliable to the community of the	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Feb	bruary 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This a					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	r parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8 and 10</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	* *				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6 and 10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2005</u> is/are:	a) accepted or b)⊠ o	bjected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	rawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		_ · ·			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit					
application from the International Bureau (
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not	received.			
·					
1440ah-man4/a)		•			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) U Notice of In	nformal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on February 26, 2007 has been entered and considered and an action on the merits follows.

This Office action contains newly rejections that should have been made in the previous Office action; therefore, not made final. Inconvenience to the applicant is regretted.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the drawing of "positioning means for positioning the rotating rods diagonally relative to the direction of transport of the pressing belt" (claim 1, lines 8-11 and claim 8, lines 8-1); "an electronic system" (claim 6, lines 2-3); "sensors" (claim 8, line 17); and "an evaluation device" (claim 8, line 18) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the Art Unit: 3725

drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet"

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The

objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for

failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

Regarding claim 10, the metes and bounds of claim 10 are unclear because it is not clear

what Applicant is relying on in the apparatus claim 1 for patentability. It is suggested that claim

10 should be rewritten in an independent form with all of the limitations as claimed in claim 1

and it should be written in a method step(s) in order to conform with US practice.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3725

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graf (DE 19931175) in view of Affeldt et al. (hereinafter "Aff") (US 5,492,215).

Graf discloses the invention substantially as claimed as set forth in the last Office action except for a device for detecting stretched chain links. However, Aff discloses a conveying system (fig. 1) having rotating rods (26) attached to a chain link (fig. 2) (col. 6, lines 17-19), and a device for detecting stretched chain link and controlling position of the rotating rods (col. 10, lines 56-67 and col. 11, lines 1-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Graf with a device for detecting stretched chain link and controlling position of the rotating rods, as taught by Aff, in order to control position of the rotating rods, thus improve the conveying of the material.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The art of record, considered alone or in combination, neither anticipates nor renders obvious a press comprising:

... the gears wheels being provided with marking or pulse generators to provide a gear wheel signal, in combination with the rest of the claimed limitations.

Art Unit: 3725

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jimmy T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4520.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30am-5:00pm with alternating

Friday 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JTNguyen May 14, 2007

Way 14, 2007

JTHMY TINGUTEN EXAMINER - AU372S Page 5